#### Dynamic Pickup and Delivery with Transfers

P. Bouros<sup>1</sup>, D. Sacharidis<sup>2</sup>, T. Dalamagas<sup>2</sup>, T. Sellis<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup>NTUA, <sup>2</sup>IMIS – RC "Athena"

# Outline

#### Introduction

#### Related work

- Pickup and delivery problems
- Shortest path problems
- Solving dynamic Pickup and Delivery with Transfers
  - Actions
  - Dynamic plan graph
  - The SP algorithm
- Experimental evaluation
- Conclusions and Future work

# Motivation example

- A courier company offering pickup and delivery services
- Static plan
  - Set of requests
  - Transfers between vehicles
  - Collection of vehicles routes
- Pickup and Delivery with Transfers
  - Create static plan
- Ad-hoc requests
  - Pickup package from n<sub>s</sub>, deliver it at n<sub>e</sub>
- dynamic Pickup and Delivery with Transfers (dPDPT)
  - Modify static plan to satisfy new request



# Motivation example

- A courier company offering pickup and delivery services
- Static plan
  - Set of requests
  - Transfers between vehicles
  - Collection of vehicles routes
- Pickup and Delivery with Transfers
  - Create static plan
- Ad-hoc requests
  - Pickup package from n<sub>s</sub>, deliver it at n<sub>e</sub>
- dynamic Pickup and Delivery with Transfers (dPDPT)
  - Modify static plan to satisfy new request



# Motivation example

- A courier company offering pickup and delivery services
- Static plan
  - Set of requests
  - Transfers between vehicles
  - Collection of vehicles routes
- Pickup and Delivery with Transfers
  - Create static plan
- Ad-hoc requests
  - Pickup package from n<sub>s</sub>, deliver it at n<sub>e</sub>
- dynamic Pickup and Delivery with Transfers (dPDPT)
  - Modify static plan to satisfy new request



#### Contributions

- First work targeting dPDPT
  - Works for dynamic Pickup and Delivery can be adapted to work with transfers
- dPDPT as a graph problem
  - Works for dynamic Pickup and Delivery involve two-phase local search method
- Cost metrics
  - Company's viewpoint, extra traveling or waiting time
  - Customer's viewpoint, delivery time
- Solution
  - Dynamic two-criterion shortest path

#### Related work

#### Pickup and delivery problems

- Precedence and pairing constraints
- Variations
  - Time windows
  - Capacity constraint
  - Transfers
- Static
  - Generalization of TSP
  - Exact solutions
    - □ Column generation, branch-and-cut
  - Approximation
    Local search
- Dynamic

• Two phases, insertion heuristic and local search

#### Related work

#### Pickup and delivery problems

- Precedence and pairing constraints
- Variations
  - Time windows
  - Capacity constraint
  - Transfers
- Static
  - Generalization of TSP
  - Exact solutions
    - □ Column generation, branch-and-cut
  - Approximation
    Local search
- Dynamic

• Two phases, insertion heuristic and local search

# Related work (cont'd)

- Shortest path problems
  - Classic

- Dijkstra, Bellman-Ford
- ALT: bidirectional A\*, graph embedding
- Materialization and labeling techniques
- Multi-criteria SP
  - Reduction to single-criterion: user-defined preference function
  - Interaction with decision maker
  - Label-setting or correcting algorithms: a label for each path reaching a node
- Time-dependent SP
  - Cost from n<sub>i</sub> to n<sub>i</sub> depends on departure time from n<sub>i</sub>
  - Dijkstra: consider earliest possible arrival time
  - FIFO, non-overtaking property

# Related work (cont'd)

- Shortest path problems
  - Classic

- Dijkstra, Bellman-Ford
- ALT: bidirectional A\*, graph embedding
- Materialization and labeling techniques
- Multi-criteria SP
  - Reduction to single-criterion: user-defined preference function
  - Interaction with decision maker
  - Label-setting or correcting algorithms: a label for each path reaching a node
- Time-dependent SP
  - Cost from n<sub>i</sub> to n<sub>i</sub> depends on departure time from n<sub>i</sub>
  - Dijkstra: consider earliest possible arrival time
  - FIFO, non-overtaking property

# Solving dPDPT

- Modify static plan
  - A modifications, called actions, allowed with/without detours
    - Pickup, delivery
    - Transport
    - Transfer
- A sequence of actions, path p
  - Operational cost Op
  - Customer cost Cp
- Dynamic plan graph
  - All possible actions
- Solution to a dPDPT request
  - Path p with that primarily minimizes Op, secondarily Cp

#### Actions



#### Actions



#### Dynamic plan graph



#### The SP algorithm

- Shortest path on dynamic plan graph
- **BUT**:
  - Dynamic plan graph violates subpath optimality
    - Answer path (V<sub>s</sub>,...,V<sub>i</sub>,...,V<sub>e</sub>) to dPDPT(n<sub>s</sub>,n<sub>e</sub>) does not contain answer path (V<sub>s</sub>,...,V<sub>i</sub>) to dPDPT(n<sub>s</sub>,n<sub>i</sub>)
  - Cannot adopt Dijkstra or Bellman-Ford
- The SP algorithm
  - Label-setting for two-criteria, Op and Cp
    - A label  $\langle V_i^a, p, Op, Cp \rangle$  for each path to  $V_i^a$
  - At each iteration select label with lowest combined cost
  - Compute candidate answer upper bound
    - When a delivery edge is found
    - Prune search space
    - Terminate search



- INITIALIZATION
- CONSIDER pickup E<sub>s1</sub><sup>a</sup> and E<sub>s3</sub><sup>b</sup>
- ►  $Q = \{ < V_1^a, (V_s, V_1^a), 6, 16 >, < < V_3^b, (V_s, V_3^b), 6, 36 > \}$
- ▶ P<sub>cand</sub> = null

Detour cost T = 6



• 
$$Q = \{ < V_2^a, (V_s, V_1^a, V_2^a), \\ 6,26>, < V_3^b, (V_s, V_3^b), 6,36> \}$$

$$\blacktriangleright$$
 P<sub>cand</sub> = null



- ▶ POP < V<sub>2</sub><sup>a</sup>, (V<sub>s</sub>, V<sub>1</sub><sup>a</sup>, V<sub>2</sub><sup>a</sup>),
- CONSIDER transfer E<sub>25</sub><sup>ac</sup>
- $Arr_5^c = 10 < 26 < Dep_5^c =$ 40
- ► Q = {<V<sub>3</sub><sup>b</sup>,(V<sub>5</sub>,V<sub>3</sub><sup>b</sup>),6,36>,  $< V_5^{c}, (V_s, V_1^{a}, V_2^{a}, V_5^{c}),$ 18,36>}
- $\blacktriangleright P_{cand} = null$

Detour cost T = 6



- $\xrightarrow{C} V_{6}^{C} \longrightarrow V_{7}^{C} \longrightarrow V_{e}$   $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{POP} < \mathsf{V}_{3}^{b}, (\mathsf{V}_{s}, \mathsf{V}_{3}^{b}), 6, 36 > \\ \mathsf{and} < \mathsf{V}_{4}^{b}, (\mathsf{V}_{s}, \mathsf{V}_{3}^{b}, \mathsf{V}_{4}^{b}), \\ \mathsf{6}, 46 > \\ \end{array}$ 
  - CONSIDER transport  $E_{34}^{b}$ and transfer  $E_{46}^{bc}$
  - $46 > Dep_6^c = 40$
  - ► Q = {<V<sub>5</sub><sup>c</sup>,(V<sub>s</sub>,V<sub>1</sub><sup>a</sup>,V<sub>2</sub><sup>a</sup>,V<sub>5</sub><sup>c</sup>), 18,36>, <V<sub>6</sub><sup>c</sup>, (V<sub>s</sub>,V<sub>3</sub><sup>b</sup>,V<sub>4</sub><sup>b</sup>,V<sub>6</sub><sup>c</sup>),24,52>}
  - ► P<sub>cand</sub> = null

Detour cost T = 6



- ► POP  $< V_5^c, (V_s, V_1^a, V_2^a, V_5^c),$
- CONSIDER transport E<sub>56</sub><sup>c</sup>
- $Q = \{ < V_6^c,$ (V<sub>c</sub>,V<sub>1</sub><sup>a</sup>,V<sub>2</sub><sup>a</sup>,V<sub>5</sub><sup>c</sup>,V<sub>6</sub><sup>c</sup>),18,46>,  $< V_{6}^{c}, (V_{5}, V_{3}^{b}, V_{4}^{b}, V_{6}^{c}),$ 24,52>}

$$\blacktriangleright$$
 P<sub>cand</sub> = null



- CONSIDER transport  $E_{67}^{c}$
- $Q = \{< V_7^c,$  $(V_{s}, V_{1}^{a}, V_{2}^{a}, V_{5}^{c}, V_{6}^{c}, V_{7}^{c}), 18,$ 56>,  $<V_6^{c}, (V_5, V_3^{b}, V_4^{b}, V_6^{c}),$ 24,52>}

$$\blacktriangleright$$
 P<sub>cand</sub> = null



- 18.56>
- CONSIDER delivery E<sub>7e</sub><sup>c</sup>
- FOUND p<sub>cand</sub>
- ► Q = {<V<sub>6</sub><sup>c</sup>,(V<sub>c</sub>,V<sub>3</sub><sup>b</sup>,V<sub>4</sub><sup>b</sup>,V<sub>6</sub><sup>c</sup>), 24,52>}
- $P_{cand} =$  $(V_{s}, V_{1}^{a}, V_{2}^{a}, V_{5}^{c}, V_{6}^{c}, V_{7}^{c}, V_{e})$
- Op<sub>cand</sub> = 24
- $\blacktriangleright$  Cp<sub>cand</sub> = 59



- Op<sub>cand</sub> = 24
- **STOP**

### Experimental analysis

- Rival: two-phase method, HT
  - Cheapest insertion for pickup and delivery location, for every new request
  - After k requests perform tabu search

#### Datasets

- Road networks, OL with 6105 locations, ATH with 22601 locations
- Static plan with HT method
  - Vary |Reqs| = 200, 500, 1000, 2000
  - Vary |R| = 100, 250, **500**, 750, 1000
- Stored on disk
- Experiments
  - 500 dPDPT requests
  - HTI, HT3, HT5

#### Measure

- Total operational cost increase
- Total execution time
- I0% cache

# Varying |Reqs|



OL road network

SSTD August 24, 2011

# Varying |R|



OL road network

SSTD August 24, 2011

#### To sum up

#### Conclusions

- First work on dPDPT
- Formulation as graph problem
- Solution as dynamic two-criterion shortest path
- Faster than a two-phase local search-based method, solutions of marginally lower quality

#### Future work

- Subpath optimality
- Exploit reachability information within routes
- Additional constraints, e.g., vehicle capacity

# **Questions**? operational cost vnamic otransfer two-criterion detour